



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

tion. If at any point of its course the bird readjusts his position so as to present the full area of his wings to the line of flight, he will shoot upward, still with motionless wings, to a height of some feet, but never to the height from which he descended. I have seen an eagle at the end of a half-mile sail, glide upward ten or fifteen feet to his objective point, and I think this is about the limit he can attain without beating his wings.

Now with the aerodrome of Professor Langley's dream, it, with an initial impulse, it could be maintained on a horizontal, forward, course by adjustments of its inclinations to the internal working of the column of air over which it is passing, its force of gravity would be immediately neutralized, and its onward flight consequently arrested. A plane body many times heavier than air cannot be sustained in direct horizontal flight through the air, except by an expenditure of internal energy sufficient to propel it with a speed proportionate to the requirements of its specific gravity, qualified by its surface area.

Professor Langley will not have failed to observe, or the mention of the fact will recall it to his recollection, that sailing-birds pursuing an onward course do not maintain a horizontal line by availing themselves of any internal motion in the air, but simply by their own unaided physical energies.

Recurring now to the less clearly apprehended problem of circling, I believe that Professor Langley's argument that the bird could not circle with motionless wings in a horizontal current, requires one important qualification—he should have added “at least not if he carries his own wings level with the horizon.” This the circling bird never does. He could no more circle while he did so, than a bicyclist could circle on an upright wheel. But by holding his wings obliquely to the horizontal circle of his flight, he can utilize the wind as a lifting power for about five-eighths of his course, and for propulsion also over nearly the same length, provided the wind blows faster than he flies. This is precisely on the principle on which a perforated card or messenger screws its course up the string of a kite. The wind blows horizontally but strikes the messenger obliquely. If the bird describes an oval, facing the wind only on the short course, he may utilize the wind for driving, over three-fourths of the course or even more. There is hence at every sweep an accumulation of impulse to urge it over the difficulty of sailing against the wind. At that stage, the bird can most easily adjust his wings, so as to make the opposing air lift him; the effort is required only to force himself into the wind's eye. By gliding slightly downward over the one-half or more of the course, with the wind, he acquires an impulse from the joint action of wind and gravity, almost, or quite sufficient, to drive him over the remainder of the course, and to raise him to his original level while facing the wind. If the impulse is not strong enough, the effect will be seen, not necessarily in the bird falling to a lower level, but in his circling further and further to leeward at every sweep. In fact the aerodrome of the future, although, like the bird, it may not sail a straight horizontal course without an expenditure of energy, may nevertheless, like the bird, be maintained in circling flight, in a moderate breeze, indefinitely, with a minimal expenditure of energy, not in consequence of the “internal work” of the air, but in spite of it. But while this explanation of the mechanics of circling flight renders it conceivable that, given the initial impulse, it can be accomplished in a moderate breeze without any expenditure of energy, beyond what is required for constant readjustment of the inclination of the plane, I am by no means certain that, in the case of the bird, the tail is not an important adjunct in propulsion. This obliquity of the direction of the wings to the horizon of flight is the clue to the whole mystery of circling or soaring flight.

The clue being given, the following propositions will, I think, serve to completely unravel it:

First. A bird gliding down an inclined plane owes his forward flight to the force of gravity.

Second. To maintain himself in horizontal flight, whether in a direct line or in a circle, power is necessary, first to overcome the force of gravity, second to propel him on his course.

Third. The bird flying in a direct line provides both lifting and driving power by beating his wings, as the boatman uses his oars; the circling bird achieves the same ends by trimming his wings to the wind, as the sailor trims his sails. Professor Langley suggested the solution when he argued that the power must come from the air.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

** Correspondents are requested to be as brief as possible. The writer's name is in all communications, and must be given in good faith.
On: one hundred copies of the number containing his communication
are free to any correspondent.
The Editor will be glad to publish any queries consonant with the character of the journal.

A Curiosity in the Vegetable World.

NEAR a country roadside in Tate County, Miss., is a curiosity which is of interest to every passer-by, but is especially interesting to a student of nature.

All of us, doubtless, have observed “twin” or “double” trees, which have a common stock for some distance above ground, and which might be accounted for by the cessation of growth of the terminal bud of the trunk, and by the upward development of two branches from lateral buds. But the phenomenon I speak of is this: two large elm trees (*Ulmus Americana*) about 1½ and 2 feet in diameter, respectively, have crossed each other, and have grown together, in this wise. The trees are about 8 feet apart at the base, and one crosses the other about 6 feet from the ground, the trees and the ground between forming a right-angled triangle; rather an obtuse-angled triangle; for the tree which is most nearly erect is inclined slightly in the direction in which the other lies. It seems that when young, or at least some years ago, one of the trees was blown up against the other, the two uniting where they crossed as solidly as if one were a branch of the other, the one growing almost upright, while the other continued its growth in a nearly horizontal direction.

The latter is, I should say, about 50 feet long, and the upper end of its trunk about 15 feet from the ground.

T. O. MABRY.

University of Mississippi, Jan. 23, 1894.

• Red Ants.

A SHORT time since I read an article in *Science* concerning red ants. I wish *somebody* could tell me how to rid a building of them.

Upon our grounds are two buildings, hardly fifty rods apart, the “South Hall” being infested from garret to cellar and the “North Hall” being absolutely free from them. I can find no great difference of soil or position to account for this. Why the one building should be so infested with them and the other *not* is more than I can explain. I have tried almost every known remedy against them without success.

For weeks, even months, our rooms will be apparently clean and free from these pests. Let me bring a piece of meat on a plate into the room, set it anywhere I choose, and within twenty-four hours there will be hundreds,—if it remain over night thousands,—literally, of them covering it and the neighboring ob-